



Biology of Blood and Marrow Transplantation

journal homepage: www.bbmt.org



Characterization of Oral Involvement in Acute Graft-versus-Host Disease



Daniela Ion¹, Kristen Stevenson², Sook-Bin Woo^{3,4}, Vincent T. Ho^{5,6}, Robert Soiffer^{5,6}, Joseph H. Antin^{5,6}, Nathaniel S. Treister^{3,4,*}

¹ Oral Medicine Department, Guy's and St Thomas NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK

² Department of Biostatistics and Computational Biology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, Massachusetts

³ Division of Oral Medicine and Dentistry, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts

⁴ Department of Oral Medicine, Infection and Immunity, Harvard School of Dental Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts

⁵ Division of Hematologic Malignancies, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, Massachusetts

⁶ Department of Medicine, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts

Article history:

Received 22 April 2014

Accepted 23 June 2014

Key Words:

Graft-versus-host disease

Acute

Oral ulcers

Stomatitis

ABSTRACT

Acute graft-versus-host-disease (aGVHD) is a major complication of allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT). The purpose of this study was to characterize the oral features associated with aGVHD in patients who underwent HSCT between 1995 and 2010 and developed prominent oral aGVHD. Data was collected from patient medical records and analyzed descriptively. Twenty-one cases were identified, of which 5 (24%) demonstrated only oral features; the remaining 16 had variable involvement of skin ($n = 14$), liver ($n = 7$), and gut ($n = 5$). The median time to onset of any sign of aGVHD was 22 days (range, 8 to 154 days), and that for onset of oral aGVHD was 35 days (range, 11 to 159 days). Sites affected by nonspecific erythema and ulcerations included buccal mucosa (19 of 21; 90%) tongue (18 of 21; 86%; dorsum in 8), labial mucosa (16 of 21; 76%), palatal mucosa (15 of 21; 71%; hard palate in 7), and floor of mouth (7 of 21; 33%). Eight cases (38%) presented with lip ulceration and crusting. In addition to systemic therapies, topical solutions of dexamethasone, tacrolimus, and morphine were used for ancillary support. Oral features of aGVHD may be the initial manifestation and include nonspecific erythema and ulcerations of keratinized and non-keratinized mucosa and lips. Intensive topical therapies may help reduce symptoms and promote healing.

© 2014 American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation.

INTRODUCTION

Acute graft-versus-host disease (aGVHD) is a major complication of allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) that develops when transplanted donor T cells mount an alloimmune response against recipient (host) tissues, resulting in tissue damage, morbidity, and, in severe cases, death [1]. Based on the National Institutes of Health consensus criteria, aGVHD is defined as either classical aGVHD, occurring within 100 days after transplantation, or persistent, recurrent, or late aGVHD, typically occurring beyond day +100 during withdrawal of immune suppression and associated with poorer outcomes [2]. The incidence, timing, and severity of aGVHD are influenced by several clinical factors, including donor–recipient HLA matching, stem cell source, number of T cells in the donor graft,

conditioning regimen intensity, and GVHD prophylaxis regimen [3,4]. Despite prophylaxis, aGVHD develops in up to 30% to 50% of allogeneic HSCTs [4,5].

Oral features developing in the context of aGVHD have been reported infrequently [6–13]. This is in stark contrast to conditioning regimen–associated oral mucositis and oral chronic GVHD (cGVHD), both of which are commonly encountered in the context of allogeneic HSCT and have been extensively characterized in the literature [12,14,15]. Clinical characteristics of the oral features attributed to aGVHD have been reported as generalized mucosal erythema and pseudomembranous ulcerations, but also with features more suggestive of cGVHD, such as lichenoid hyperkeratotic striations [1,11,16]. The objective of the present study was to characterize a cohort of patients with oral features associated with aGVHD with respect to clinical findings, management, and outcomes.

METHODS

A retrospective medical records review was conducted of patients who underwent allogeneic HSCT at Dana-Farber/Brigham and Women's Cancer Center between 1995 and 2010 and were subsequently diagnosed with oral

Financial disclosure: See Acknowledgments on page 1720.

* Correspondence and reprint requests: Nathaniel S. Treister, DMD, DMSc, Division of Oral Medicine and Dentistry, Brigham and Women's Hospital, 3rd floor, 1620 Tremont St, Boston, MA 02120.

E-mail address: ntreister@partners.org (N.S. Treister).

Table 1
Diagnostic Criteria for Oral aGVHD

Clinical Feature	Description
Oral mucosa	Nonspecific erythema and ulcerations that can affect nonkeratinized and keratinized oral mucosa as well as the lip vermillion
Systemic aGVHD	Oral lesions typically developing in association with classical aGVHD features (eg, skin, liver, gut)
Mucositis	Complete resolution of oral ulcerations secondary to conditioning regimen, if present, before onset of oral aGVHD lesions
HSV	Viral culture negative, ongoing acyclovir prophylaxis
Engraftment	Two consecutive days with ANC >500

aGVHD. All patients were evaluated by the Oral Medicine and Dentistry consultation service at Dana-Farber/Brigham and Women's Cancer Center. This study was approved by the Dana-Farber/Harvard Cancer Center Institutional Review Board. Owing to the study's retrospective nature, patient consent was not obtained.

Transplantation-related data collected included primary diagnosis for HSCT, donor information (including age and sex), graft source, conditioning regimen, GVHD prophylaxis regimen, and mucositis history. With respect to GVHD, data collected included day of onset of aGVHD, day of onset of oral lesions, target organ involvement, highest aGVHD grade, systemic immunosuppressive therapy, oral ancillary therapy, subsequent development of oral cGVHD, and survival at day +100 post-transplantation.

aGVHD was graded clinically according to consensus or the International Bone Marrow Transplant Registry (IBMTR) Severity Index Systems scale [17,18]. Each target organ was staged from 0 to 4, and an overall aGVHD grade of 0 to IV was determined. Patients with aGVHD limited to the oral cavity were considered grade 0, because the consensus and IBMTR scales do not recognize the oral cavity as a target organ. aGVHD was further classified according to the National Institutes of Health criteria [2].

Oral aGVHD was defined as the onset of oral mucosal erythema and ulcerations in the context of engraftment (defined as 2 consecutive days with an absolute neutrophil count [ANC] >500) and aGVHD involvement of classical target organs (Table 1). Biopsy analysis of the involved oral mucosa was not performed in all cases, typically when the diagnosis of aGVHD was not already established. All patients were started on standard acyclovir prophylaxis on admission for HSCT, and viral cultures were obtained from all patients at the time of onset of oral aGVHD lesions. In patients who had developed conditioning regimen–associated oral mucositis during HSCT, all ulcerations were required to be completely resolved before the onset of oral aGVHD lesions. Clinical intraoral photographs were reviewed to confirm objective findings collected from the medical records.

Statistical analyses were performed using SAS version 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Progression-free survival was defined as the time from the date of HSCT until the date of disease relapse, progression, or death and was censored at the time of last contact. Overall survival was defined as the time from the date of HSCT until the date of death and was censored at the time of last contact.

RESULTS

Patient Characteristics

Among the 2578 recipients of allogeneic HSCT during the 15-year study period, 21 developed oral aGVHD (Table 2). The median age of these 21 patients was 49 years (range, 21 to 65 years), and two-thirds were male. Approximately one-third of the patients received a reduced-intensity conditioning regimen, and the majority received methotrexate and a calcineurin inhibitor for GVHD prophylaxis. The median time from HSCT to diagnosis of aGVHD (based on any diagnostic feature) was 22 days (range, 8 to 154 days), with 95% of recipients presenting with typical clinical features within the first 100 days.

Classical features of aGVHD were observed in the majority of patients, including skin (n = 14), liver (n = 7), and gastrointestinal (n = 5) involvement, with the majority (14 of 21; 67%) classified as grade III–IV. Five patients (24%) presented with oral features only and thus were

Table 2
Characteristics of the 21 Patients with Oral aGVHD

Characteristic	Value
Median age (range)	49 (21–65)
Male sex, n (%)	14 (67)
Disease, n (%)	
Acute myelogenous leukemia	7 (33)
Acute lymphoblastic leukemia	4 (19)
Chronic myelogenous leukemia	4 (19)
Myelodysplastic syndrome	3 (14)
Myeloproliferative disorder	2 (10)
Multiple myeloma	1 (5)
Donor type, n (%)	
Matched related donor	11 (52)
Matched unrelated donor	9 (43)
Mismatched unrelated donor	1 (5)
Conditioning regimen intensity, n (%)	
Myeloablative	15 (71)
Reduced intensity	6 (29)
Graft source, n (%)	
Peripheral blood stem cells	14 (67)
Bone marrow	7 (33)
GVHD prophylaxis, n (%)	
Tacrolimus/methotrexate	6 (33)
Methotrexate/cyclosporine A	6 (33)
Sirolimus/tacrolimus/methotrexate	2 (12)
Sirolimus/mycophenolate mofetil	1 (6)
Sirolimus/tacrolimus	1 (6)
Bortezomib/tacrolimus/methotrexate	1 (6)
T cell depleted	1 (6)
Not available	3 (14)
Days to ANC >500, median (range)	14 (11–50)

considered to have grade 0 aGVHD. Of the patients presenting with multisystem involvement, in 3 cases the initial site was the oral cavity (followed in each case by skin). Except for these 3 patients, and the 5 patients with oral features only, all other patients developed oral features after being diagnosed with aGVHD and started on systemic corticosteroid therapy (see below). Oral mucositis preceded the onset of aGVHD in approximately one-half of the patients (11 of 21; 52%), with complete resolution of oral ulcerations in all cases before the onset of aGVHD. All patients received acyclovir prophylaxis, and all viral cultures for herpes simplex virus (HSV) were negative (data not shown). No patient had any other identified infection (eg, cytomegalovirus reactivation, oropharyngeal candidiasis).

Oral aGVHD Features

The median time from HSCT to diagnosis of oral aGVHD was 35 days (range, 11 to 159 days). Oral lesions were generally characterized as extensive, irregular nonspecific erythema and ulcerations of the keratinized and nonkeratinized mucosa (Figure 1). Lichenoid striations typical of cGVHD were not observed. Taking all cases into consideration, the buccal mucosa, tongue (ventrolateral and dorsum), labial mucosa, and hard and soft palates were variably affected; no gingival involvement was noted (Table 3). Eight patients presented with prominent lip ulceration with crusting that typically extended beyond the vermillion border (Figure 2). Except for 1 patient who experienced xerostomia and severe salivary gland hypofunction, xerostomia was not reported by any other patient. One patient developed asymptomatic palatal superficial mucocles.

Oral mucosal biopsy specimens were obtained in 4 patients. Three of these biopsy specimens showed mucosal



Figure 1. Oral aGVHD presenting with diffuse ulceration of the ventrolateral tongue, as well as ulceration and crusting of the lips.



Figure 2. Oral aGVHD with marked ulceration, bleeding, and crusting of the lips, as well as intraoral ulcerations and thick mucous secretions.

ulceration, with 1 specimen devoid of epithelium. The epithelium varied in thickness and presented variable features of dyskeratosis and reactive atypia. All cases exhibited acute and chronic inflammation within the lamina propria. Immunohistochemical studies for HSV and cytomegalovirus were negative.

Management and Outcomes

All patients were treated with a calcineurin inhibitor (cyclosporine or tacrolimus) and high-dose corticosteroids (eg, 1 to 2 mg/kg). Some patients were managed with additional systemic agents, including sirolimus, mycophenolate mofetil, and denileukin difitox, and extracorporeal photopheresis. In addition to systemic immunosuppressive therapy, patients were started on an oral ancillary regimen consisting of topical high-potency corticosteroids (dexamethasone or compounded clobetasol solutions) and

tacrolimus (ointment and compounded solution). Corticosteroid and tacrolimus solutions were used alone or in combination (ie, corticosteroid/tacrolimus; $n = 4$) as a 5-minute swish-and-spit rinse, 2 to 4 times daily. Lip involvement was managed with tacrolimus ointment and clobetasol gel, applied 2 to 3 times daily. Morphine solution as an analgesic swish-and-spit rinse was prescribed for 3 patients receiving concurrent systemic opioid therapy.

Sixteen patients (76%) survived beyond day +100 post-HSCT, and 5 patients (24%) survived longer than 1 year. Four of the patients who survived beyond day +100 developed cGVHD. One of these 4 patients, who had been previously treated for Hodgkin lymphoma and underwent HSCT for secondary myelodysplastic syndrome, subsequently developed squamous cell carcinoma of the buccal mucosa approximately 17 months after HSCT. Seventeen patients died, with 4 experiencing relapse before death. The 1-year overall survival and progression-free survival estimates were 7% (95% confidence interval, <1% to 26%) and 7% (95% confidence interval, <1% to 27%), respectively.

Table 3
Clinical Features of the 21 Patients with Oral aGVHD

Feature	Value
Sites of GVHD, n (%) [*]	
Oral mucosa	21 (100)
Skin	14 (67)
Liver	7 (33)
Gut	5 (24)
Days to aGVHD, median (range)	22 (8–154)
Days to oral aGVHD, median (range)	35 (11–159)
GVHD grade, n (%)	
0 (oral involvement only)	5 (24)
I	1 (5)
II	1 (5)
III	4 (19)
IV	10 (48)
Site of ulcerations, n (%) [*]	
Buccal mucosa	19 (90)
Tongue, any surface	18 (86)
Tongue dorsum	8 (38)
Labial mucosa	16 (76)
Palate	15 (71)
Hard palate	7 (33)
Floor of mouth	7 (33)
Lips	8 (38)
Salivary gland disease, n (%)	
Hypofunction/xerostomia	1 (5)
Superficial palatal mucoceles	1 (5)

^{*} Patients could have multiple sites of involvement.

DISCUSSION

This study represents the largest series of patients with oral manifestations of aGVHD and the most systematically detailed report describing its associated clinical features to date. Oral mucosal disease was typically widespread and very painful, often extending from the vermillion of the lips to the soft palate. Of note, 14 of the 17 patients (82%) with classical features had grade III–IV aGVHD, suggesting that oral features may be associated with the most severe phenotypes. In addition, the median day of onset was nearly 2 weeks later than seen in other areas of involvement, suggesting that except for cases limited to the oral cavity, oral involvement typically develops after initiation of steroid therapy and in the context of an established diagnosis. The case definition proposed in this study identified all but 5 patients who presented only with oral features that were otherwise consistent with those observed in association with systemic manifestations of aGVHD. Although we were not able to definitively confirm that these 5 cases were manifestations of aGVHD, the clinical characteristics were otherwise identical to the cases that presented with classical involvement of other organs, with no other reasonable clinical diagnosis (eg, sirolimus-associated oral ulcerations or

drug eruption [19]). Given that aGVHD can be limited to single organ involvement (eg, skin only), the possibility that this also can occur with only oral mucosal involvement, even if infrequent [17,18], must be considered.

Several previous reports have described variable oral features associated with aGVHD [6–13]. Barrett and Billous [6] reported 5 patients with oral and systemic aGVHD involvement (skin, liver, gut) in which oral lesions presented between 3 and 31 days after onset of systemic features, from day +21 to day +43. The oral features were described as fine papular, reticular, or lichenoid, as well as ulcerative/desquamative changes throughout the oral mucosa. Berkowitz et al. [7] described “recurrent stomatitis” in 5 of 25 pediatric patients who developed generalized aGVHD that presented approximately 1 week after complete resolution of conditioning regimen—associated oral mucositis, characterized by diffuse intraoral and lip ulcerations. Dahlöf et al. [8] described oral features associated with aGVHD lesions in 2 children that developed concurrently with skin lesions, with ulcerations of the buccal mucosa and tongue. Dreizen et al. [10] reported aGVHD in 4 of 12 patients, all of whom developed diffuse painful oral ulcers in association with classical systemic features [10]. Schubert and Sullivan [12] reported several cases in which oral features preceded the onset of systemic aGVHD manifestations by up to 5 to 6 days. It is possible that descriptions of white/lichenoid changes represent “overlap syndrome” in which features of both aGVHD and cGVHD can be seen [2]. Of note, although these changes were not observed in the present series, after the completion of this study, a case of oral aGVHD (meeting the proposed guidelines) was evaluated by our consultation service with mild hyperkeratotic reticulated areas of the tongue dorsum, in addition to more typical ulcerations of the bilateral buccal mucosa and ventrolateral tongue.

Optimal management of oral manifestations of aGVHD has not been established. Berkowitz et al. [7] reported that the oral lesions typically resolved rapidly after initiation of systemic corticosteroid therapy. In the present case series, in some cases the onset of oral features did not occur until after initiation of systemic steroid therapy, but in all cases these features resolved in the context of prolonged high-dose systemic steroid therapy. Schubert and Sullivan [12] noted that topical steroid applications appeared to help reduce the severity and duration of oral lesions associated with aGVHD, although the specific formulations and regimens were not described.

In the absence of available guidelines for management, we generally followed the principles of oral cGVHD management [14]. Most patients were treated with topical high-potency corticosteroids (dexamethasone or compounded clobetasol solutions) and tacrolimus (ointment and compounded solution), which seemed to provide clinical benefit [20]. In cases of severe pain that was uncontrolled by systemic opioids, topical morphine solution appeared to be effective in managing symptoms [21,22].

This study had several limitations. Even though our study group is the largest series of patients with oral features of aGVHD reported to date, the sample size was still relatively small. These 21 cases were observed over a 15-year period during which 2578 allogeneic HSCTs were performed at our center and 325 recipients developed grade III–IV aGVHD (data not shown). Moreover, such features as lichenoid hyperkeratotic changes that were described in previous reports were not observed in our series [6,12]. Data were collected retrospectively, and in some cases clinical descriptions might

have been incomplete or inaccurate. Although biopsy specimens were only obtained in select cases, given the nonspecific histopathologic features, the extent to which this evaluation might be beneficial in supporting or rejecting the diagnosis of oral aGVHD is unclear.

Although apparently infrequent, oral involvement in the context of aGVHD can be clinically severe and must be distinguished from other oral conditions, especially recrudescence HSV. Based on our findings as well as previous reports, it appears that in most cases, oral features present in the context of multisystem involvement and typically as a later manifestation; however, oral lesions may present early or, we believe, rarely as the sole manifestation. Management should include modified diet (eg, soft bland foods and liquids, as tolerated) and intensive topical immunosuppressive therapy until there is adequate resolution of signs and symptoms. Future studies may better define the true incidence of oral manifestations associated with aGVHD and its significance with respect to prognosis and outcomes.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial disclosure: The authors have nothing to disclose.

Conflict of interest statement: There are no conflicts of interest to report.

REFERENCES

- Deeg HJ, Antin JH. The clinical spectrum of acute graft-versus-host disease. *Semin Hematol*. 2006;43:24–31.
- Filipovich AH, Weisdorf D, Pavletic S, et al. National Institutes of Health consensus development project on criteria for clinical trials in chronic graft-versus-host disease, I: Diagnosis and Staging Working Group report. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. 2005;11:945–956.
- Cutler C, Giri S, Jayapalan S, et al. Acute and chronic graft-versus-host disease after allogeneic peripheral blood stem cell and bone marrow transplantation: a meta-analysis. *J Clin Oncol*. 2001;19:3685–3691.
- Ferrara JL, Reddy P. Pathophysiology of graft-versus-host disease. *Semin Hematol*. 2006;43:3–10.
- Sullivan KM, Deeg HJ, Sanders J, et al. Hyperacute graft-vs-host disease in patients not given immunosuppression after allogeneic marrow transplantation. *Blood*. 1986;67:1172–1175.
- Barrett AP, Bilous AM. Oral patterns of acute and chronic graft-vs-host disease. *Arch Dermatol*. 1984;120:1461–1465.
- Berkowitz RJ, Strandjord S, Jones P, et al. Stomatologic complications of bone marrow transplantation in a pediatric population. *Pediatr Dent*. 1987;9:105–110.
- Dahlöf G, Heimdahl A, Modeer T, et al. Oral mucous membrane lesions in children treated with bone marrow transplantation. *Scand J Dent Res*. 1989;97:268–277.
- Defabianis P, Braida S, Guagnano R. 180-day screening study for predicting the risk factors for developing acute oral graft-versus-host disease in paediatric patients subjected to allogeneic haematopoietic stem cells transplantation. *Eur J Paediatr Dent*. 2010;11:31–34.
- Dreizen S, McCredie KB, Dicke KA, et al. Oral complications of bone marrow transplantation in adults with acute leukemia. *Postgrad Med*. 1979;66:187–196.
- Schubert MM, Correa ME. Oral graft-versus-host disease. *Dent Clin North Am*. 2008;52:79–109.
- Schubert MM, Sullivan KM. Recognition, incidence, and management of oral graft-versus-host disease. *NCI Monogr*. 1990;135–143.
- Woo SB, Lee SJ, Schubert MM. Graft-vs-host disease. *Crit Rev Oral Biol Med*. 1997;8:201–216.
- Treister N, Duncan C, Cutler C, Lehmann L. How we treat oral chronic graft-versus-host disease. *Blood*. 2012;120:3407–3418.
- Sonis ST. Mucositis: the impact, biology and therapeutic opportunities of oral mucositis. *Oral Oncol*. 2009;45:1015–1020.
- da Fonseca MA, Schubert M, Lloyd M. Oral aspects and management of severe graft-vs-host disease in a young patient with beta-thalassemia: case report. *Pediatr Dent*. 1998;20:57–61.
- Przepiorka D, Weisdorf D, Martin P, et al. 1994 consensus conference on acute GVHD grading. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 1995;15:825–828.
- Rowlings PA, Przepiorka D, Klein JP, et al. IBMTR severity index for grading acute graft-versus-host disease: retrospective comparison with Glucksberg grade. *Br J Haematol*. 1997;97:855–864.
- Chuang P, Langone AJ. Clobetasol ameliorates aphthous ulceration in renal transplant patients on sirolimus. *Am J Transplant*. 2007;7:714–717.

20. Couriel D, Carpenter PA, Cutler C, et al. Ancillary therapy and supportive care of chronic graft-versus-host disease: National Institutes of Health consensus development project on criteria for clinical trials in chronic graft-versus-host disease, V: Ancillary Therapy and Supportive Care Working Group Report. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. 2006;12: 375-396.
21. Cerchiatti LC, Navigante AH, Korte MW, et al. Potential utility of the peripheral analgesic properties of morphine in stomatitis-related pain: a pilot study. *Pain*. 2003;105:265-273.
22. Nielsen BN, Aagaard G, Henneberg SW, et al. Topical morphine for oral mucositis in children: dose finding and absorption. *J Pain Symptom Manag*. 2012;44:117-123.